ConnectiCare

POLICY NUMBER	EFFECTIVE DATE	APPROVED BY
MG.MM.DM.18aC3	01/01/2020	MPC (Medical Policy Committee)
IMPOPTANT NOTE ABOUT THIS MEDICAL POLICY.		

IMPORTANT NOTE ABOUT THIS MEDICAL POLICY:

Property of ConnectiCare, Inc. All rights reserved. The treating physician or primary care provider must submit to ConnectiCare, Inc. the clinical evidence that the patient meets the criteria for the treatment or surgical procedure. Without this documentation and information, ConnectiCare will not be able to properly review the request for prior authorization. This clinical policy is not intended to pre-empt the judgment of the reviewing medical director or dictate to health care providers how to practice medicine. Health care providers are expected to exercise their medical judgment in rendering appropriate care. The clinical review criteria expressed below reflects how ConnectiCare determines whether certain services or supplies are medically necessary. ConnectiCare established the clinical review criteria based upon a review of currently available clinical information (including clinical outcome studies in the peer-reviewed published medical literature, regulatory status of the technology, evidence-based guidelines of public health and health research agencies, evidence-based guidelines and positions of leading national health professional organizations, views of physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas, and other relevant factors). ConnectiCare, Inc. expressly reserves the right to revise these conclusions as clinical information changes, and welcomes further relevant information. Identification of selected brand names of devices, tests and procedures in a medical coverage policy is for reference only and is not an endorsement of any one device, test or procedure over another. Each benefit plan defines which services are covered. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that this service or supply is covered and/or paid for by ConnectiCare, as some plans exclude coverage for services or supplies that ConnectiCare considers medically necessary. If there is a discrepancy between this guideline and a member's benefits plan, the benefits plan will govern. In addition, coverage may be mandated by applicable legal requirements of the State of CT and/or the Federal Government. Coverage may also differ for our Medicare members based on any applicable Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) coverage statements including including National Coverage Determinations (NCD), Local Coverage Determinations (LCD) and/or Local Medical Review Policies(LMRP). All coding and web site links are accurate at time of publication.

Definitions

Cardiac event monitors are small portable devices worn by a patient during normal activity for up to 30 days. The device has a recording system capable of storing several minutes of the individual's electrocardiogram (EKG) record. The patient can initiate EKG recording during a symptomatic period of arrhythmia. These monitors are particularly useful in obtaining a record of arrhythmia that would not be discovered on a routine EKG or an arrhythmia that is so infrequent that it is not detected during a 24-hour period by a Holter monitor.

Two different types of cardiac event monitors are available. Pre-symptom (looping memory) event monitors are equipped with electrodes attached to the chest and are able to capture EKG rhythms before the cardiac event monitor is triggered (pre-symptom recording). Post-symptom event monitors do not have chest electrodes. One type of post-symptom event monitor is worn on the wrist. When symptoms occur, the patient presses a button to trigger an EKG recording. Another type of post-symptom event monitor is a device that the patient carries within easy



reach. When symptoms occur, the patient presses the electrodes on the device against their chest and presses a button to trigger the EKG recording.

Cardiac event monitors have been developed with automatic trigger capabilities, which are designed to automatically trigger an EKG recording when certain arrhythmias occur. Automated trigger cardiac event monitors are thought to be more sensitive, but less specific, than manually-triggered cardiac event monitors for significant cardiac arrhythmias.

Cardiac event monitors may come with 24-hour remote monitoring. Usually, EKG results are transmitted over standard phone lines at the end of each day to an attended monitoring center where a technician screens EKG results and notifies the patient's physician of any significant abnormal results, based on predetermined notification criteria.

Newer cardiac event monitors allow EKG results to be transmitted via e-mail over the internet. Some cardiac event monitors allow the patient to transmit EKG over standard telephone lines to the attended monitoring center immediately after symptoms occur while other cardiac event monitors have been adapted to also allow immediate transmission of EKG results by cellular telephone.

Standard cardiac event monitors come with 5 to 10 mins of memory. Cardiac event monitors with expanded memory capabilities have been developed, extending memory from approximately 20 to 30 mins to as much as several hours.

Mobile cardiovascular telemetry (MCT) refers to non-invasive ambulatory cardiac event monitors with extended memory capable of continuous measurement of heart rate and rhythm over several days, with transmission of results to a remote monitoring center. MCT is similar to standard cardiac telemetry used in the hospital setting.

CardioNet (Philadelphia, PA) has developed an MCT device with extended memory, automatic ECG arrhythmia detector and alarm that is incorporated into a service that CardioNet has termed "Mobile Cardiac Outpatient Telemetry (MCOT)." The CardioNet device couples an automatic arrhythmia detector and cellular telephone transmission so that abnormal EKG waveforms can automatically be transmitted immediately to the remote monitoring center. The CardioNet device is capable of storing up to 96 hours of EKG waveforms. These ECG results are transmitted over standard telephone lines to the remote monitoring center at the end of each day. The physician receives both urgent and daily reports.

Guideline

I. External intermittent cardiac event monitors (i.e., external loop recorders) and external intermittent cardiac event monitors with real-time data transmission and analysis (e.g., eCardio eVolution)

Medically necessary for **any** of the following conditions:

A. To document suspected arrhythmia in members with a non-diagnostic Holter monitor (e.g., suspected atrial fibrillation [AF] as cause of cryptogenic stroke), or in members whose symptoms occur less infrequently (i.e., < daily) such that the arrhythmia is unlikely to be diagnosed by Holter monitoring

ConnectiCare

- B. To document ST segment depression for suspected ischemia
- C. To document the benefit after initiating drug therapy for an arrhythmia
- D. To document recurrence of arrhythmia after discontinuation of drug therapy
- E. To document results after ablation procedure for arrhythmia
- F. To evaluate syncope and lightheadedness in members with a non-diagnostic Holter monitor, or in members whose symptoms occur infrequently (i.e., < daily) such that the arrhythmia is unlikely to be diagnosed by Holter monitoring

II. Mobile cardiovascular telemetry (MCT)

(E.g., CardioNet Mobile Cardiac Outpatient Telemetry [MCOT] Service; Cardiac Telecom and Health Monitoring Services of America's Telemetry @ Home Service; Heartrak ECAT [External Cardiac Ambulatory Telemetry] [Mednet Healthcare Technologies]; HEARTLink[™] ECG Arrhythmia Detector and Alarm System [Cardiac Telecom]; LifeStar ACT Monitor [LifeWatch]; SAVI® Telemetry [Mediacomp]; Scott Care[™] Cardiovascular Solutions])

Medically necessary for the evaluation of recurrent unexplained episodes of pre-syncope, syncope, palpitations or dizziness when the following criteria (**A or B**) is met:

- A. Evaluation of recurrent unexplained episodes of presyncope, syncope, palpitations or dizziness when **both** are applicable:
 - i. Cardiac arrhythmia is suspected cause of symptoms
 - ii. Member has a non-diagnostic Holter monitor, or symptoms occur infrequently (i.e., < daily) such that the arrhythmia is unlikely to be diagnosed by Holter monitoring
- B. Evaluation of members with suspected AF as a cause of cryptogenic stroke who have had a nondiagnostic Holter monitor

III. Implantable loop recorder (e.g., Reveal Insertable Loop Recorder [Medtronic])

Medically necessary for the following indications:

- Evaluation of recurrent unexplained episodes of pre-syncope, syncope, "seizures" or dizziness when **both** of the following criteria are met:
 - i. Cardiac arrhythmia is suspected cause of symptoms
 - ii. **Either** of the following criteria is met:
 - a. Member with heart failure, prior myocardial infarction (MI) or significant ECG abnormalities (see <u>Appendix</u>) — noninvasive ambulatory monitoring (consisting of 30-day presymptom external loop recordings or MCT) fails to establish a definitive diagnosis
 - Member without heart failure, prior MI or significant ECG abnormalities (see <u>Appendix</u>) — symptoms occur so infrequently and unpredictably (i.e., < once per month) that noninvasive ambulatory monitoring (MCT or external loop recorders) are unlikely to capture a diagnostic ECG



B. For evaluation of members with suspected AF as a cause of cryptogenic stroke who have had a nondiagnostic Holter monitor.

Note: Depending on clinical presentation, the member may have had a negative or non-diagnostic electrophysiological study (EPS); however, EPS is no longer considered a prerequisite to insertion of an implantable loop recorder.

IV. Long-term (> 48 hours) external ECG monitoring by continuous rhythm recording and storage (e.g., Zio Patch)

Medically necessary for the following indications:

- C. To evaluate syncope and lightheadedness in members with a non-diagnostic Holter monitor, or in members whose symptoms occur infrequently (i.e., < daily) such that the arrhythmia is unlikely to be diagnosed by Holter monitoring
- D. To document arrhythmia in members with a non-diagnostic Holter monitor, or in members whose symptoms occur infrequently (i.e., < daily) such that the arrhythmia is unlikely to be diagnosed by Holter monitoring

Limitations/Exclusions

Requests for repeat studies within 1 year of a previous study will be case-by-case reviewed.

Loop recorders (regardless of whether they are external or implantable) are not considered medically necessary for any indications other than those listed above.

The following monitors are considered investigational and not medically necessary due to insufficient evidence of therapeutic value:

- 1. AliveCor Heart Monitor (iPhoneECG)
- 2. BIOTRONIK BioMonitor
- 3. Mobile patient management systems (eg, BodyGuardian Remote Monitoring System)
- 4. Self-monitoring ECG technologies or the ViSi Mobile Monitoring System

Cardiac event detection, CPT codes 93268, 93270, 93271, 93272, is a 30-day packaged service. Tests may not be billed within 30 days of each other, even if the earlier of the tests was discontinued when arrhythmias were documented, and the patient is now reconnected for follow-up of therapy or intervention.

Applicable Coding

To access the codes, please download the policy **to your computer, and click on the paperclip** *icon within the policy*

Q

Applicable CPT and Diagnosis Codes

ConnectiCare

References

(APHRS) and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS). Endorsed by the governing bodies of the American College of Cardiology Foundation, the American Heart Association, the European Cardiac Arrhythmia Society, the European Heart Rhythm Association, the Society of Thoracic Surgeons, the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society and the Heart Rhythm Society. Heart Rhythm. 2012 Apr;9(4):632-696.e21.

Bhatt A, Majid A, Razak A, et al. Predictors of occult paroxysmal atrial fibrillation in cryptogenic strokes detected by long- term noninvasive cardiac monitoring. Stroke Res Treat. 2011 Feb 22;2011:172074.

Calkins H, Kuck KH, Cappato R, et al. 2012 HRS/EHRA/ECAS Expert Consensus Statement on Catheter and Surgical Ablation of Atrial Fibrillation: Recommendations for Patient Selection, Procedural Techniques, Patient Management and Follow-up, Definitions, Endpoints, and Research Trial Design: A report of the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) Task Force on Catheter and Surgical Ablation of Atrial Fibrillation. Developed in partnership with the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), a registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Cardiac Arrhythmia Society (ECAS); and in collaboration with the American College of Cardiology (ACC), American Heart Association (AHA), the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society.

Camm AJ, Kirchhof P, Lip GY, et al.; European Heart Rhythm Association; European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery. Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation: the Task Force for the Management of Atrial Fibrillation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J. 2010 Oct;31(19):2369-429. Erratum in: Eur Heart J. 2011 May;32(9):1172.

Camm AJ, Lip GY, De Caterina R, et al.; ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines. 2012 focused update of the ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation: An update of the 2010 ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation. Eur Heart J. 2012 Nov;33(21):2719-47.

CardioNet Inc. website. 2019. http://www.cardionet.com. Accessed July 16, 2019.

<u>Culebras A, Messé SR, Chaturvedi S, et al. Summary of evidence-base</u>d guideline update: prevention of stroke in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: report of the Guideline Development Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology. 2014 Feb 25;82(8):716-24. Erratum in: Neurology. 2014 Apr 22;82(16):1481.

Gladstone DJ, Spring M, Dorian P, et al.; EMBRACE Investigators and Coordinators. Atrial fibrillation in patients with cryptogenic stroke. N Engl J Med. 2014 Jun 26;370(26):2467-77.

Hayes, Inc. Hayes Health Technology Brief. Mobile cardiac outpatient telemetry (MCOT) (CardioNet ambulatory ECG monitor; CardioNet Inc.) for home monitoring of cardiac patients. Lansdale, PA: Hayes, Inc.; September 2011. Updated October 2013. Archived October 2014.

ischemic attack: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Stroke. 2014 Feb;45(2):520-6.

January CT, Wann LS, Alpert JS, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014 Dec 2;64(21):e1-e76.

Joshi AK, Kowey PR, Prystowsky EN, et al. First experience with a mobile cardiac outpatient telemetry (MCOT) system for the diagnosis and management of cardiac arrhythmia. Am J Cardiol. 2005;95(7):878-881.

Kadish AH, Buxton AE, Kennedy HL, et al. ACC/AHA clinical competence statement on electrocardiography and ambulatory electrocardiography. A report of the ACC/AHA/ACP-ASIM Task Force on Clinical Competence (ACC/AHA

Committee to Develop a Clinical Competence Statement on Electrocardiography and Ambulatory Electrocardiography). J Am Coll Cardiol. 2001 Dec; 38(7):2091-100.

Kadish AH, Reiffel JA, Clauser J, et al. Frequency of serious arrhythmias detected with ambulatory cardiac telemetry. Am J Cardiol. 2010 May 1;105(9):1313-6.

ConnectiCare.

Kadish AH, Reiffel JA, Clauser J, et al. Frequency of serious arrhythmias detected with ambulatory cardiac telemetry. Am J Cardiol. 2010 May 1;105(9):1313-6.

Kamel H, Navi BB, Elijovich L, et al. Pilot randomized trial of outpatient cardiac monitoring after cryptogenic stroke. Stroke. 2013 Feb;44(2):528-30.

Kernan WN, Ovbiagele B, Black HR, et al.; American Heart Association Stroke Council, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on Clinical Cardiology, and Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease. Guidelines for the prevention of stroke in patients with stroke and transient ischemic attack: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2014 Jul;45(7):2160-236.

LifeWatch website. 2019. http://www.lifewatch.com/. Accessed July 16, 2019.

Medicomp website. Savi Wireless Mobile Cardiac Telemetry. <u>https://medicompinc.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/SAVI-Wireless-Patient-Guide.pdf</u>. 2019. Accessed July 16, 2019.

Miller DJ, Khan MA, Schultz LR, et al. Outpatient cardiac telemetry detects a high rate of atrial fibrillation in cryptogenic stroke. J Neurol Sci. 2013 Jan 15;324(1-2):57-61.

Mittal S, Movsowitz C, Steinberg JS. Ambulatory external electrocardiographic monitoring: focus on atrial fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011 Oct 18;58(17):1741-9.

Moya A, Sutton R, Ammirati F, et al.; Task Force for the Diagnosis and Management of Syncope; European Society of Cardiology (ESC); European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA); Heart Failure Association (HFA); Heart Rhythm Society (HRS). Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of syncope (version 2009). Eur Heart J. 2009 Nov; 30(21):2631-71.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). CG180. The management of atrial fibrillation. June 2014. <u>http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/CG180</u>. Accessed July 16, 2019.

Olson JA, Fouts AM, Padanilam BJ, Prystowsky EN. Utility of mobile cardiac outpatient telemetry for the diagnosis of palpitations, presyncope, syncope, and the assessment of therapy efficacy. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2007 May;18(5):473-7.

Rothman SA, Laughlin JC, Seltzer J, et al. The diagnosis of cardiac arrhythmias: A prospective multicenter randomized study comparing mobile cardiac outpatient telemetry versus standard loop event monitoring. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2007;18(3):241-247. Saarel EV, Doratotaj S, Sterba R. Initial experience with novel mobile cardiac outpatient telemetry for children and adolescents with suspected arrhythmia. Congenit Heart Dis. 2008;3(1):33-38.

Sanna T, Diener HC, Passman RS, et al.; CRYSTAL AF Investigators. Cryptogenic stroke and underlying atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. 2014 Jun 26;370(26):2478-86.

Strickberger SA, Benson DW, Biaggioni I, et al. AHA/ACCF scientific statement on the evaluation of syncope. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2006 Jan 17;47(2):473-84.

Tayal AH, Tian M, Kelly KM, Jones SC et al. Atrial fibrillation detected by mobile cardiac outpatient telemetry in cryptogenic TIA or stroke. Neurology. 2008 Nov 18;71(21):1696-701.

Vasamreddy CR, Dalal D, Dong J, et al. Symptomatic and asymptomatic atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing radiofrequency catheter ablation. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2006;17:134-139. Zipes DP, Camm AJ, Borggrefe M, Buxton A et al. ACC/AHA/ESC 2006 guidelines for management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2006 Sep 5;48(5): e247-346.

Specialty matched clinical peer review.

ConnectiCare

Revision history

DATE	REVISION
01/01/2020	Connecticare has adopted the clinical criteria of its parent corporation, EmblemHealth.
	Removed MCG criteria policy-M20190034

Appendix

Short-Term High-Risk Criteria Which Require Prompt Hospitalization or Intensive Evaluation

Severe structural or coronary artery disease (heart failure, low LVEF, or previous MI)		
Clinical or ECG features suggesting arrhythmic syncope		
• S'	yncope during exertion or supine	
• Pa	alpitations at the time of syncope	
• Fa	amily history of SCD	
• N	on-sustained VT	
	ifascicular-block (LBBB or RBBB combined with left anterior or left posterior fascicular block) or other ntraventricular conduction abnormalities with QRS duration ≥120 ms	
	nadequate sinus bradycardia (<50 bpm) or sinoartrial block in absence of negative chronotropic nedications or physical training	
• Pi	re-excited QRS complex	
• Pi	rolonged or short QT interval	
• R	BBB pattern with ST-elevation in leads V1-V3 (Brugada pattern)	
Negative T waves in right precordial leads, epsilon waves, and ventricular late potentials suggestive of ARVC		
Terrestent eo ersubidition		
Important co-morbidities Severe anemia 		
• Ele	ctrolyte disturbance	

Key: ARVC: arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy; bpm: beats per minute; LBBB: left bundle branch block; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; RBBB: right bundle branch block; SCD: sudden cardiac death; VT: ventricular tachycardia.

Source: Task Force for the Diagnosis and Management of Syncope; European Society of Cardiology (ESC); European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA); Heart Failure Association (HFA); Heart Rhythm Society (HRS), Moya A, Sutton R, Ammirati F, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of syncope (version 2009). Eur Heart J. 2009;30(21):2631-2671.